From: Michael Van Alstine <vanelson53224@yahoo.com> on 04/05/2004 01:11:04 PM

**Subject:** Regulation BB - Community Reinvestment Act

Docket No. R-1181

Jennifer J. Johnson Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW Washington DC 20551

April 2, 2004

Dear Officials of Federal Bank and Thrift Agencies:

As a banking customer I would like to urge you to change your proposed Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) regulation before

finalizing it. I believe you need a stronger predatory lending standard and I

believe you should keep

the small bank definition as it is at \$250 million in assets. Wisconsin

would be hard-hit by the proposed changes in requirements for small banks.

I hope you will pay more attention to the smaller banks. They can do more

if the regulators would only encourage the larger of the small banks to  $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{$ 

support community development lending and investments in smaller  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) +\left$ 

communities. Keeping them on the large bank CRA exam will do more to  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right$ 

encourage reinvestment in the community than letting them take the  $\operatorname{small}$ 

bank exam does. I'm asking you not to raise the asset threshold definition of a small bank.

I am also very concerned about the proposed standard regarding predatory

loans. I am very aware of rip-off lenders who do what you call "asset-based

lending" and try to force borrowers into foreclosure so they can take over

their homes. However, there are many other ways that seemingly good banks

take advantage of customers who don't understand the loan process. I think

the regulators should use the CRA exams to penalize lenders who push high

cost loans with high fees and those who get you into a bad loan and then  $\ensuremath{\operatorname{try}}$ 

to flip you into an even worse loan. I also oppose prepayment penalties

that keep you from getting out of a bad loan, loans with balloon payments,  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) \left( 1$ 

and single premium credit insurance policies that cost a lot but don't really help the customer. Regulators should lower a bank's CRA rating when they include loan "features" like that.

I also support the position of the National Community Reinvestment Coalition. I think they pretty much nailed it.

Thank you very much for paying attention to my concerns.

Sincerely,

Michael T. Van Alstine

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Small Business \$15K Web Design Giveaway http://promotions.yahoo.com/design\_giveaway/